22

23

24

25

The Law Office of Olaf W. Hedberg Olaf W. Hedberg, State Bar #151082 901 H St., Suite 301 Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 447-1192 office ohedberg@yahoo.com

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF **CALIFORNIA**

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ANDREY NESHCHERET

Case Number: 2:22-cr-00203-JAM

STIPULATION AND ORDER

**Date: August 20, 2024** 

Time: 9:00 am

Judge: Hon John A. Mendez

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and the Defendant, by and through each counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Attorney Hedberg was recently appointed to this case, and needs additional time to review discovery and review it with his client. in order to effectively advise Mr. Neshcheret of the potential ramifications if a conviction is had in the matter at bar.
- 2. By this stipulation, the parties now move to continue the status conference until August 20, 2024 at 9:00 am, and to exclude time between August 20, 2024, and October 8, 2024, under Local Code T4. Plaintiff does not oppose this request.
  - 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - The government has provided discovery associated with this case. a.
- b. Counsel for the defendant desires time to consult with his client, to review the current charges, to conduct investigation and research related to the charges, to review and copy

## 

|| (

discovery for these matters and to discuss potential resolutions with his client.

Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- d. The government does not object to the continuance.
- e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 20, 2024, to October 8, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED

Dated: <u>August 12, 2024</u>

Respectfully submitted

/s/ Olaf W. Hedberg
Olaf W. Hedberg
Attorney for Andrey Neshcheret

/s/Matthew P. DeMoura
Matthew P. DeMoura
Special Assistant US Attorney

## **ORDER**

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby ordered that the August 20, 2024 status conference be **CONTINUED** to **October 08, 2024, at 9:00 a.m**. I find that the ends of justice warrant an exclusion of time and that the defendant' need for continuity of counsel and reasonable time for effective preparation exceeds the public interest in a trial within 70 days. THEREFORE IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that time be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h) (7) (B) (ii) and Local Code T4 from the date of this order to October 8, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 14, 2024

/s/ John A. Mendez

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE